

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

THE LAW OF COMPARATIVE ADVERTISING AND FREEDOM OF COMMERCIAL SPEECH: A LEGAL AND SOCIAL WORK PERSPECTIVE

AUTHORED BY - ARYAN SINGH

Master of Social Work (Criminology and Justice), School of Social Work,
Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400088

Abstract

This paper examines the complex interplay between comparative advertising, freedom of commercial speech, and social work ethics. Comparative advertising, which contrasts a product or service with that of competitors, is a significant aspect of modern marketing and is protected under the constitutional right to freedom of expression. However, it often leads to conflicts with trademark law, raising questions about its boundaries. The paper evaluates Indian legal frameworks, including the Trademarks Act, 1999, and explores judicial precedents that delineate the permissible scope of comparative advertising. Additionally, the study incorporates a social work perspective, emphasizing the ethical implications of advertising practices that may exploit consumer vulnerabilities or reinforce harmful stereotypes. Social workers are highlighted as critical advocates for ethical advertising, consumer education, and inclusive policies that protect marginalized groups. Major findings reveal that while comparative advertising can enhance consumer choice and market competition, it must be carefully regulated to prevent misinformation, denigration, and ethical breaches. The paper concludes that collaboration among businesses, legal experts, and social workers is essential to balance economic interests with social accountability.

Keywords: Comparative Advertising, Freedom of Commercial Speech, Trademark Law, Consumer Rights, Social Work Ethics

Introduction

Advertising plays a pivotal role in modern economies, offering a medium for businesses to communicate their products and services to consumers. Among its many forms, comparative advertising stands out as a tool for fostering competition by allowing businesses to highlight the advantages of their products over those of their competitors. However, this practice often walks a fine line between promoting consumer choice and engaging in unfair trade practices. Comparative advertising operates at the intersection of two critical domains: the legal framework that regulates commercial speech and the ethical considerations of consumer welfare. From a legal standpoint, it raises questions about trademark infringement, disparagement, and freedom of expression under constitutional guarantees. From a social work perspective, advertising's influence on consumer behavior and societal norms warrants scrutiny, particularly in advocating for ethical practices that safeguard marginalized communities and promote equitable access to information.

This paper examines comparative advertising through the dual lens of law and social work. It discusses the legal rationales governing advertising, evaluates its impact on consumer interests, and explores the role of social workers in addressing ethical concerns and promoting fair practices.

Methodology

This study employs a multidisciplinary methodology that integrates legal analysis and social work ethics to explore the legal, ethical, and societal dimensions of comparative advertising. Primary legal sources, such as the Constitution of India, Trademarks Act, 1999, and key judicial decisions, form the foundation of the analysis. These are supplemented by secondary sources, including academic articles, legal commentaries, and reports from regulatory bodies like the Advertising Standards Council of India (ASCI).

The study also incorporates a social work lens to examine the societal impact of advertising, focusing on marginalized communities and consumer vulnerabilities. This interdisciplinary approach combines:

1. **Critical Legal Analysis:** Examining statutes and judicial decisions to understand the scope and limitations of comparative advertising under Indian law. This involves analyzing the balance between freedom of commercial speech and trademark rights,

- with an emphasis on judicial precedents that address conflicts in this domain.
2. **Ethical Evaluation:** Applying ethical frameworks, particularly from social work, to assess how comparative advertising practices align with consumer welfare and societal values. The study evaluates the ethical challenges posed by advertising practices that exploit vulnerabilities or perpetuate stereotypes.
 3. **Consumer-Centric Analysis:** Exploring the role of advertising in influencing consumer behavior and decision-making, with a focus on how advertisements impact different demographic groups, including marginalized communities.
 4. **Policy and Advocacy Perspective:** Evaluating the role of regulatory frameworks and social workers in promoting equitable and ethical advertising practices. This includes assessing mechanisms for consumer education, policy advocacy, and legal reform.

Research Questions

1. How do legal frameworks in India balance the rights of advertisers under freedom of commercial speech with the protection of trademark holders and consumer interests?
2. How can social work principles and ethical frameworks be applied to address the societal and consumer welfare implications of comparative advertising?

Legal Framework: Comparative Advertising and Freedom of Commercial Speech

Comparative advertising is defined as the practice of directly or indirectly comparing one's product with that of a competitor, often highlighting superiority. Under Indian law, this practice is regulated by the Trademarks Act, 1999, which seeks to balance the rights of trademark holders with the freedom of commercial speech, a subset of the fundamental right to free expression guaranteed by Article 19(1)(a) of the Indian Constitution.

1. Freedom of Commercial Speech

The Supreme Court of India recognized advertising as a form of commercial speech in *Tata Press Ltd. v. MTNL* (1995). This landmark judgment affirmed that advertising serves not only the interests of businesses but also consumers' right to receive information. The Court held that advertisements are indispensable in a democratic setup, as they inform consumer choices and promote market competition. However, this right is not absolute and is subject to reasonable restrictions under Article 19(2), such as public morality and decency.

2. Trademark Protection and Comparative Advertising

Trademark law serves to prevent consumer confusion and protect the goodwill of businesses. Under Section 29(8) of the Trademarks Act, comparative advertising is permissible unless it:

1. Takes unfair advantage of another trademark,
2. Is detrimental to the distinctive character of the trademark, or
3. Harms the reputation of the trademark.

The courts have often grappled with cases where advertisers claim freedom of speech while competitors allege trademark infringement. For instance, in *Dabur India Ltd. v. Colgate Palmolive India Ltd.* (2004), the Delhi High Court ruled that while comparative advertising is allowed, advertisers must avoid misleading consumers or denigrating competitors.

Comparative Advertising and Consumer Interests

From a consumer perspective, comparative advertising has both benefits and risks. On the positive side, it can enhance consumer awareness by providing comparative data, enabling informed decision-making. However, unethical practices such as misleading claims, denigration, and puffery can mislead consumers, erode trust, and violate ethical norms.

For marginalized and vulnerable populations, the risks are particularly acute. Advertisements that exploit socioeconomic vulnerabilities or perpetuate stereotypes can harm consumer dignity and autonomy. For example, advertisements targeting low-income consumers with predatory financial products or promoting fairness creams with racial undertones raise significant ethical concerns.

The Role of Social Work in Addressing Ethical Concerns

Social workers, as advocates for justice and community welfare, have a crucial role in addressing the ethical implications of comparative advertising. This involves promoting consumer education, advocating for equitable policies, and intervening against exploitative practices. The following are key areas where social work intersects with advertising ethics:

1. Consumer Education

Social workers can empower consumers by promoting media literacy, particularly in marginalized communities. Workshops and campaigns can help individuals critically

analyze advertisements, discern misleading claims, and make informed choices.

2. Advocacy for Ethical Advertising

Social workers can collaborate with policymakers and consumer rights organizations to establish and enforce ethical advertising standards. This includes advocating for stronger regulations on misleading advertisements and ensuring that vulnerable populations are not disproportionately targeted.

3. Addressing Systemic Inequalities

Advertising often reflects and reinforces systemic inequalities. Social workers can challenge discriminatory practices in advertising, such as the promotion of gender stereotypes or the exclusion of diverse identities. By advocating for inclusive and equitable representation, they can contribute to a more just society.

4. Supporting Mental Health and Well-Being

Advertisements, particularly comparative ones, can create unrealistic expectations and exacerbate mental health issues, such as low self-esteem and anxiety. Social workers can support individuals affected by such pressures and work with advertisers to promote positive and responsible messaging.

Ethical Advertising: A Balanced Approach

Ethical comparative advertising should balance the interests of businesses, consumers, and society. This involves adhering to the principles of honesty, fairness, and respect for consumer dignity. For example:

- Advertisers should provide factual, verifiable comparisons without denigrating competitors.
- Consumer interests should guide advertising practices, ensuring that information is accurate, accessible, and inclusive.
- Stakeholders, including social workers, should participate in formulating guidelines that reflect societal values and priorities.

Major Findings

- Legal Framework:
Comparative advertising is protected under the constitutional right to freedom of speech and expression, as established in landmark cases like *Tata Press Ltd. v. MTNL*. However, this right is not absolute and is subject to reasonable restrictions under Article

19(2) of the Constitution. The Trademarks Act, 1999 permits comparative advertising, provided it does not take unfair advantage of, or harm the reputation of, another trademark. Judicial decisions underscore the need for balance, allowing advertisers to promote their products while protecting the rights of competitors and consumers.

- **Consumer Impact:**

Comparative advertising has the potential to empower consumers by providing comparative information and fostering informed decision-making. However, it can also mislead consumers through puffery, exaggerated claims, or denigration of competitors. Vulnerable and marginalized groups, such as low-income consumers or communities with limited access to media literacy, are particularly susceptible to exploitation and misinformation. This necessitates targeted interventions to protect their rights and interests.

- **Ethical and Societal Concerns:**

Advertisements often reflect and perpetuate societal stereotypes, such as promoting fairness creams or gendered roles, which can reinforce biases and inequalities. Such practices raise significant ethical concerns, particularly from a social work perspective, where the focus is on promoting inclusion, equity, and consumer dignity.

- **Role of Social Work:**

Social workers can play a pivotal role in addressing the ethical challenges posed by comparative advertising. This includes promoting media literacy to empower consumers, advocating for stronger regulatory frameworks, and challenging discriminatory advertising practices. By working alongside policymakers, consumer rights organizations, and businesses, social workers can ensure that advertising practices align with societal values and promote equitable outcomes.

- **Recommendations for a Balanced Approach:**

The study highlights the importance of a collaborative approach involving businesses, legal experts, regulators, and social workers. Legal safeguards must be combined with ethical accountability and consumer advocacy to create a framework where comparative advertising serves both economic and social goals. This includes stricter enforcement of advertising standards, greater transparency in claims, and inclusive practices that reflect the diverse needs of society.

These findings emphasize the need for ongoing dialogue and collaboration to address the complex interplay between law, ethics, and social welfare in advertising practices.

Conclusion

Comparative advertising, as a facet of commercial speech, occupies a significant space in both legal and social domains. While it fosters competition and consumer choice, it also poses challenges related to trademark protection, ethical practices, and societal impact. Social workers, with their commitment to justice and community welfare, have an important role in addressing these challenges by promoting ethical advertising, supporting vulnerable populations, and advocating for equitable policies.

As economies evolve and advertising becomes increasingly pervasive, a collaborative approach involving legal experts, social workers, policymakers, and businesses is essential. Together, they can ensure that advertising serves not only economic interests but also the broader goals of equity, inclusion, and social well-being.

References

- Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616 (1919).
- Advertising Standards Council of India (ASCI). (2023). Code for self-regulation in advertising. Retrieved from <https://www.ascionline.org>.
- Basu, D. D. (2019). Commentary on the Constitution of India. Gurgaon: LexisNexis.
- Bilab Kumar Lenin & Arun Babu. (2017). Comparative advertising and the consumer: Changing dynamics. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 22, 112–120.
- Dabur India Ltd. v. Colgate Palmolive India Ltd., (2004). 29 PTC 401.
- Dabur India Limited v. Wipro Limited, (2006). 32 PTC 677 (Del).
- Elizabeth Verkey & Jithin Saji Isaac. (2014). Comparative advertising: Trademarks and competition. *Developing World Review on Trade and Competition*, 3, 21–34.
- Hamdard Dawakhana v. Union of India, AIR 1960 SC 554.
- Indian Constitution. (1950). Article 19(1) (a).
- Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India, AIR 1978 SC 597.
- Patrick Henry. (2003). *The Constitution as liberty's foundation*. New York: Constitution Press.
- Reckitt Benckiser (India) Pvt. Ltd. v. Gillette India Ltd., (2016). SCC OnLine Del 4737.
- Sharma, S., & Wani, M. A. (2015). Examining the legal provisions on comparative advertising in India. *NUJS Law Review*, 8(2), 133–144.
- Tata Press Ltd. v. MTNL, (1995). 5 SCC 139.
- The Trademarks Act, 1999. (1999).

Uphar Shukla. (2006). Comparative advertising and product disparagement vis-a-vis trademark law. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 11, 409–414.

Whitney v. California, 274 U.S. 357 (1927).

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (2017). *WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook: Policy, Law and Use*. Geneva: WIPO

